Embryonic founders of adult muscle stem cells are primed by the determination gene Mrf4
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A B S T R A C T
Skeletal muscle satellite cells play a critical role during muscle growth, homoeostasis and regeneration. Selective induction of the muscle determination genes Myf5, Myod and Mrf4 during prenatal development can potentially impact on the reported functional heterogeneity of adult satellite cells. Accordingly, expression of Myf5 was reported to diminish the self-renewal potential of the majority of satellite cells. In contrast, virtually all adult satellite cells showed antecedence of Myod activity. Here we examine the priming of myogenic cells by Mrf4 throughout development. Using a Cre-lox based genetic strategy and novel highly sensitive Pax7 reporter alleles compared to the ubiquitous Rosa26-based reporters, we show that all adult satellite cells, independently of their anatomical location or embryonic origin, have been primed for Mrf4 expression. Given that Mrf4Cre+ and Mrf4nlacZ are active exclusively in progenitors during embryogenesis, whereas later expression is restricted to differentiated myogenic cells, our findings suggest that adult satellite cells emerge from embryonic founder cells in which the Mrf4 locus was activated. Therefore, this level of myogenic priming by induction of Mrf4, does not compromise the potential of the founder cells to assume an upstream muscle stem cell state. We propose that embryonic myogenic cells and the majority of adult muscle stem cells form a lineage continuum.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Vertebrate skeletal muscle development involves the allocation of founder stem cells to the muscle lineage, proliferation of committed myoblasts and subsequently differentiation and fusion of myoblasts to generate multinucleated, contractile myotubes. Embryonic satellite cells called satellite cells (Mauro, 1961) remain undifferentiated and associated with myofibres, contributing to repair of the tissue following injury. Cells that fit this anatomical description arise during late foetal stages in chick and mouse, contribute to prenatal and postnatal muscle growth and enter mitotic quiescence in young adults (Gros et al., 2005; Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2005; Relaix et al., 2005; Relaix and Zammit, 2012). The origin of satellite cells in trunk and limb musculature has been traced to somites, transient epithelial metameric segments of paraxial mesoderm (Armand et al., 1983; Gros et al., 2005; Sambasivan et al., 2011), whereas head muscle satellite cells originate from cranial mesoderm (Harel et al., 2009; Sambasivan et al., 2009). Although much information is available on the genetic regulation of skeletal muscle, as for virtually all organs and tissues, the link between emerging populations of muscle stem cells in the embryo and those in the adult remains largely undefined.

Founder stem cells in the trunk and limb muscle reside in the dorsal epithelial layer of somites called the dermomyotome, and they express the paired-box/homeodomain transcription factors Pax3 and/or Pax7. Notably, Pax7 expression identifies these cells throughout development starting from early embryogenesis, and it marks all adult satellite cells. The acquisition of myogenic cell fate and lineage progression to myoblasts and differentiated myofibres is directed by bHLH transcription factors known as muscle regulatory factors (MRFs). Myf5, Myod and Mrf4 determine muscle identity (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2005; Rudnicki et al., 1993), whereas Myod, Mrf4 and Myogenin (Myog) function to activate or maintain the differentiation programme (Hasty et al., 1993; Nabeshima et al., 1993; Rawls et al., 1995). Embryonic muscle progenitor cells have been categorised into Myf5-expressing and Myf5-negative (Myod-expressing) subpopulations (Braun and Arnold, 1994; Gensch et al., 2008; Haldar et al., 2008). Remarkably,
the minority Myf5−Myod+ population was reported to expand following the genetic ablation of Myf5-expressing cells using diphtheria toxin, thereby rescuing muscle development (Gensch et al., 2008; Haldar et al., 2008). Mrf4 expression is confined to embryonic progenitors and thus fails to drive myogenesis autonomously beyond the embryonic period in mice lacking Myf5 and Myod (embryonic day E14.5; (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004)). However, it is the most abundant MRF to be expressed in differentiated myonuclei (Hinterberger et al., 1991). Whereas Myod is key to initiate muscle differentiation (see (Berkes and Tapscott, 2005)), Mrf4 plays a role in later phases of differentiation and maintenance of the differentiated state (Zhang et al., 1995). This is highlighted by the absence of myogenesis in Myod:Mrf4 double null mice (Rawls et al., 1998), where these genes were proposed to be necessary for Myog activation in muscle progenitor cells (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004).

In this context, a key question concerns the regulatory state of the founders of adult satellite cells in relation to the relative roles of the MRFs. It is unclear whether satellite cells arise from an ‘upstream’ Pax+/MRF− population or if they emerge from a committed pool of MRF+ progenitors that subsequently revert to a more uncommitted cell state. Firstly, the majority of satellite cells (~90%) were reported to express the Myf5nlacZ reporter (Beauchamp et al., 2000; Tajbakhsh et al., 1996a) and also Myf5 protein (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2012). Secondly, using Myf5Cre; R26YFP mice it was reported that a small subset of the adult satellite cell pool had never activated Myf5 and are therefore upstream in the lineage (Kuang et al., 2007). In contrast, the use of
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**Fig. 1. Cre expression driven by the Mrf4Cre allele matches endogenous Mrf4 expression.** (A) Immunostained transverse sections of the trunk at forelimb level showing a portion of myotome of an E12.5 embryo. Cre recombinase protein is present in β-gal positive nuclei (arrowheads) but never in β-gal negative cells. β-gal+ Cre− cells (arrows) reflect the longer stability of β-gal protein with respect to Cre. (B) TA cross-section of 2 months old (2 mo) mice, immunostained, reveals exclusive staining for Pax7 in satellite cells (arrowheads) and β-gal (Mrf4nlacZ) in differentiated myonuclei (arrows). No contemporary expression of Mrf4 in seen in satellite cells in adult mice. (C) EDL fibres from adult (6–8 week old) Mrf4Cre or Myf5Cre mice were either fixed immediately following isolation (0 h) or after 26 or 72 h in culture. Cre immunostaining in Myf5Cre mice co-localised with Pax7 protein at 0 h, with MyoD upon satellite cell activation (26 h) and with Myog during differentiation (72 h). Cre expression from the Mrf4Cre allele was not observed in satellite cells at any stage. Scale bars in A, B, C = 25 μ.
transcriptome analysis and genetic studies have shown that the satellite cells would clarify this issue with respect to the regulatory gene, we reasoned that addressing the history of lineage progression or if a subset of the ancestral satellite cell pool is derived from uncommitted founder cells.

As Mrf4 plays a dual role as a determination and differentiation gene, we reasoned that addressing the history of Mrf4 activity in satellite cells would clarify this issue with respect to the regulatory state of the founders of satellite cells. Furthermore, skeletal muscle development occurs in successive and distinct waves. Although transcriptionome analysis and genetic studies have shown that the myoblasts contributing to these temporally defined phases are distinct (Biressi et al., 2007b; Hutcheson et al., 2009; Tajbakhsh, 2009), little is known about the upstream population that assures myogenesis throughout development. In addition, the postnatal phase is distinguishable, at the molecular level, from embryonic and foetal myogenesis (Lepper et al., 2009). The molecular switch from embryonic myogenesis to the foetal muscle programme is at least partly controlled by Nfix (Nuclear factor I/X), resulting in down regulation of embryonic myogenic markers such as slow myosin heavy chain (Myhçc) and up regulation of foetal muscle markers such as muscle creatine kinase (Ckm), p-enolase (Eno3), protein kinase 0 (Prkçq) (Biressi et al., 2007b; Messina et al., 2010). However, the lineage relationship among these various developmental progenitors and the emerging satellite cells is poorly understood.

Using Cre-lox lineage tracing strategies we report the unexpected finding that virtually all adult satellite cells, independently of their anatomical location or embryonic origin, had transited through a developmental stage in which the Mrf4 locus was active. We also show that the activation of Mrf4 in this population commences and is likely to be nearly complete in the embryonic phase. Surprisingly, almost all Pax7+ embryonic muscle stem/progenitors in various muscle anlagen induce Mrf4. We propose that the various developmental stem/progenitors are linearly related and that the embryonic priming by Mrf4 is not autonomous in the path of future satellite cells.

**Results**

**Mraf4Cre activity reflects that of the endogenous Mrf4 locus**

To investigate the priming of stem/progenitors cells by Mrf4 during the distinct waves of myogenesis, we adopted a Cre-lox genetic strategy, similar to that of previous reports (Kanisicak et al., 2009; Kuang et al., 2007), using Mrf4Cre (Keller et al., 2004b). First, we verified that Cre expression in Mrf4Cre mice truly reflects the activation of the Mrf4 locus. In the somites of the embryo, Mrf4 expression precedes or is induced concomitantly with that of Myf5 (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004). In the absence of reliable antibodies against Mrf4, we compared Mrf4Cre expression to another knock-in allele, Mrf4nlacZ (Myf5loxP; Mrf4nlacZ (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004)). Notably, the genetic modifications in these two alleles are targeted at different sites. In Mrf4Cre-expressing cells, IRES-Cre is inserted in the 3′ UTR of the gene, whereas the Mrf4nlacZ involves genetic inactivation of Mrf4 with the nlacZ reporter positioned in the first exon. We analysed Mrf4Cre/+/nlacZ compound heterozygous embryos at embryonic day E12.5 for correspondence of Cre expression to that of β-gal (Fig. 1A). We detected Cre recombination protein only in β-gal+ nuclei and never in β-gal− nuclei (100% of Cre+ nuclei were β-gal+, whereas 78.7% ± 1.6 of β-gal+ nuclei were Cre+; n = 3 embryos, 192 nuclei). This indicates that β-gal is more stable than Cre protein and that Mrf4Cre is a reliable reporter of Mrf4 activation under these conditions. This result is in agreement with the embryonic role of Mrf4 as a determination factor, as well as genetic studies showing that Mrf4 can autonomously drive embryonic muscle cell fate in the absence of Myf5 and Mrf4 (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004). At post-natal day 21, when Mrf4 functions as a differentiation factor, Cre expressing cells were shown to co-express Myod but not Pax7 (Keller et al., 2004a).

We next assessed Cre expression from the Mrf4 locus in adult muscle. Mrf4 is the predominant Mrf expressed in differentiated skeletal muscle nuclei in mouse (Hinterberger et al., 1991) and as shown in Fig. 1B it is not expressed in adult satellite cells (n = 3 animals, total of 428 nuclei; see also (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2007)). Following myofibre isolation and in vitro culture, lineage progression from satellite cell quiescence to differentiation can be followed with specific markers (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2007). Using this model, we isolated Extensor Digitorum Longus (EDL) muscle fibres from 6 to 8 week old Mrf4Cre mice and performed immunohistochemistry immediately following isolation (0 h), after 26 h and 72 h in culture. Fibres isolated from Myf5Cre mice (Haldar et al., 2008) were used as positive controls, as Myf5 is active in the majority of quiescent, and all activated satellite cells (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2012). Cre expression in cells on Myf5Cre fibres overlapped as expected, with Pax7+ expressing cells in the quiescent state (0 h) and with Myod and Myog expressing cells upon activation and differentiation in culture (at 26 h and 72 h, respectively; Fig. 1C). In marked contrast, Cre expression in Mrf4Cre mice was not observed at any stage (Fig. 1C). However, when cells were isolated enzymatically from an injured Mrf4Cre Tibialis anterior (TA) muscle and allowed to differentiate in vitro, Cre expression was observed in myonuclei of newly formed multinucleated myotubes (Fig. S1). This observation confirms previous observations using the Mrf4nlacZ allele (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2007).

Therefore, these results demonstrate that Cre protein is appropriately expressed from the Mrf4 locus in muscle progenitors during myogenesis and muscle homoeostasis, and notably that Cre is absent from adult quiescent and activated satellite cells.

**Pax7 reporter lines increase sensitivity for tracking cells within muscle lineage**

We then determined Mrf4Cre activity using reporter alleles. First, we analysed Mrf4Cre; R26RlacZ (Keller et al., 2004b) embryos following whole mount X-gal staining. Surprisingly, comparison between the readout of Mrf4Cre expression from R26RlacZ reporter (historical/cumulative expression) constituted only a subset of expression detected with the Mrf4nlacZ allele (contemporary expression) (Fig. 2A, B). Given that readouts from reporter lines have been shown to impact directly on the interpretation of the outcome of lineage analyses (Ma et al., 2008; Stanley et al., 2002), we reasoned that a lineage specific reporter mouse would increase the resolution for these studies. Pax7 constantly marks muscle stem/progenitors cells from embryonic stages to postnatal life, regardless of the anatomical muscle location (Gros et al., 2005; Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2005; Relaix et al., 2005; Seale et al., 2000). Thus, the Pax7 locus is an ideal target for developing reporters to follow the skeletal muscle stem/progenitor population from the embryo to the adult.

We generated two conditional reporter lines at the Pax7 locus by targeting transgene cassettes into the first exon by homologous recombination. These were designed to express, from the Pax7...
Fig. 2. Generation of Pax7 reporter lines with increased sensitivity for muscle lineage tracing studies. (A–D) Embryos of different embryonic days (E) stained with X-gal. (A) Pattern of Myf4 contemporary expression (Mrf4nlacZ/+). (B) Readout of Myf4Cre expression from the ubiquitous R26RlacZ reporter. (C) Tracing of Myf4 induction in Pax7 progenitors with the Pax7GPL reporter. Reporter signal is stronger and shows a higher degree of overlap with Myf4nlacZ/+ than the R26RlacZ reporter. Arrowheads highlight rare blue cells at E10.5. (D) Pattern of Pax7 expression from the Pax7nlacZ allele. Note that the conditional activation of both the R26RlacZ reporter and Pax7 reporter by Myf4Cre (E11.5 panels B, C) is delayed with respect to Myf4nlacZ and Pax7nlacZ expression (E10.5, E11.5 panels A, D). (E) Schematic of the generation of Pax7 reporter alleles by homologous recombination. On the Pax7 locus, the regions encoding the paired domain and homeodomain are represented. Maroon rectangle is the first exon, with ATG start codon flagged. The design of the targeting vector, allowed insertion of transgene in the first exon while deleting 36 bp of the exon downstream of the 5' UTR (57 bp), in order to remove two potential start codons. The placement of loxP sites and frt sites on the transgene in Pax7GPL allows the use of this allele as a Cre-inducible stop-lacZ reporter. Moreover, as shown, the Pax7GPL line was used to generate Pax7nGFP and Pax7nlacZ alleles by mating to either a ubiquitous Flippase (β-actin flippase) or Cre recombinase (PGK-Cre) lines, respectively. Identical targeting strategy was employed for the Pax7PN-H2BGFP allele. We aimed to generate a dual-purpose allele that would serve both as a conditional knock-out (cKO), by removal of a Pax7d transgene cassette (blue rectangle, Pax7d-pA), as well as a Cre-inducible H2BGFP reporter. Owing to lack of expression of the Pax7d transgene cassette, it could not be used as a cKO allele.
locus and in a Cre recombinase inducible manner, either nuclear β-galactosidase (Pax7\(^{\text{GFP}}\) → Pax7\(^{\text{GFP/β-gal}}\)) or a fusion of Histone H2B and green fluorescent protein that localises to chromosomes (Pax7\(^{\text{PN-H2BGFP}}\) → Pax7\(^{\text{H2BGFP}}\)) (Fig. 2E). Flippase mediated recombination of the Pax7\(^{\text{GFP}}\) reporter (β-actin flippase; ActB:FLPe; (Rodriguez et al., 2000)) generates a Pax7\(^{\text{GFP}}\) allele (Fig. 2E). The expression pattern of germline flipped or floxed reporters faithfully recapitulates that of the endogenous Pax7 mRNA and protein during embryonic development (Figs. 3A, B; S2C), with the exception of the dorsal neural tube (Figs. S2D). As these reporters are under the control of endogenous Pax7 promoter, they offer spatio-temporal specificity unlike the ubiquitous constitutive R26\(^{\text{lacZ}}\) reporter (Soriano, 1999). In addition, the knock-in reporters also serve as Pax7 null alleles (Fig. S3, shown only for Pax7\(^{\text{GFP}}\)).

To further validate the Pax7 lineage specific reporter lines, we compared the X-gal profile of Mrf4\(^{\text{Cre}}\); Pax7\(^{\text{GFP}}\) embryos to that of embryos carrying the R26\(^{\text{lacZ}}\) reporter as well as to Pax7\(^{\text{fl}}\) and Mrf4\(^{\text{fl}}\) embryos. Whereas Pax7\(^{\text{fl}}\) and Mrf4\(^{\text{fl}}\) expression showed a minimal overlap in the somites at E10.5, no significant expression was observed at this stage for any of the reporters, implying a delay in the activation of the reporters by Mrf4\(^{\text{Cre}}\) (Fig. 2A, D). However, by E13.5 a significant proportion of the Pax7\(^{\text{fl}}\)/stem/progenitor cells in the trunk and limbs were primed by Mrf4 activity, with the labelling being considerably stronger compared to the commonly used ubiquitous reporter lines. Notably, these reporters allow us to define the developmental stage when Mrf4 is active in Pax7\(^{\text{+}}\) myogenic cells.

**Founders of satellite cells have activated the Mrf4 locus**

To determine whether the Mrf4 locus ever activated in founders of the satellite cell population, we examined Mrf4\(^{\text{Cre}}\); Pax7\(^{\text{PN-H2BGFP}}\) for reporter expression in adult skeletal muscle. Surprisingly, 99.8% of satellite cells were found to be H2BGFP\(^{\text{+}}\) in the TA muscle of the hindlimb as well as in a deep back muscles (n=4 animals, total of 860 nuclei; Fig. 4A, C). We then wondered whether this was the case for muscles at different anatomical locations, and with different embryonic origins. Whereas trunk and limb muscle

---

**Fig. 3. Validation of Pax7 reporters.** (A, B) Expression pattern of Pax7\(^{\text{fl}}\) and Pax7\(^{\text{H2BGFP}}\) faithfully recapitulate endogenous Pax7 mRNA as well as protein expression. (A) Whole mount RNA in situ hybridisation of a wild type E10.5 embryo is compared to Pax7\(^{\text{fl}}\) expression from an age-matched embryo. CNC, cranial neural crest; MB, a region of midbrain; MHB, midbrain–hindbrain boundary; NT, neural tube. (B) Pax7 and GFP whole mount double immunostaining on E10.5 Pax7\(^{\text{GFP/β-gal}}\) embryo. Dorsal extent of the Pax7 expression in neural tube is not reported by H2BGFP. (C, D) Expression of Pax7 conditional reporters is strictly Cre recombinase dependent. (C) Extensor digitorum longus muscles from indicated genotypes stained with a chromogenic substrate for β-galactosidase. Note, Pax7\(^{\text{GFP}}\) shows no leaky reporter expression (n > 3 animals). Pax7\(^{\text{GFP/β-gal}}\) expression is retained in differentiated myonuclei (inset). (D) Cross-section of tibia/longus (TA) muscles, immunostained for Pax7 and GFP. H2BGFP expression is never observed in Pax7\(^{\text{GFP/β-gal}}\) muscles whereas in TA of Pax7\(^{\text{GFP/β-gal}}\), generated using ubiquitous Cre-driver (PGK-Cre), all Pax7\(^{\text{+}}\) satellite cells express H2BGFP. Scale bar = 25 μ.
satellite cells derive from somites (Armand et al., 1983; Gros et al., 2005), the satellite cells of head muscles are derived from cranial mesoderm ((Harel et al., 2009; Sambasivan et al., 2009); Fig. 4B). Even among head muscles, extraocular muscles (EOM) and pharyngeal arch-derived jaw and facial muscles have distinct genetic developmental programmes (Sambasivan et al., 2009). Analysis of the history of Mrf4 activity in satellite cells of masseter (jaw muscle) and EOM tissue sections showed that >99% were H2BGFP+ (Fig. 4A, C; n=4 animals, 789 nuclei). Tongue muscles, which originate in somites but develop in the head environment (Christ and Ordahl, 1995; Tajbakhsh and Buckingham, 2000), had 93.3% of H2BGFP+ satellite cells (Fig. 4A, C; n=253 nuclei). Comparable results were obtained by immunofluorescence on cells following enzymatic digestion of the respective muscles (Fig. 4D, E; n=2 animals; TA, EOM and masseter; total n=1282 cells; data not shown).

Moreover, as different genetic loci are known to differ in their susceptibility to Cre-mediated recombination (Novak et al., 2000; Vooijs et al. 2001; Ma et al. 2008) we wanted to confirm the experiments performed in adult using a different reporter line

---

**Fig. 4. History of Mrf4 activation in satellite cells.** (A) Genetic tracing into adult mice using the Pax7PN-H2BGFP allele reveals unexpected history of Mrf4 expression in satellite cells. Cross-section of muscles of distinct embryological origins stained with GFP, Pax7 and laminin antibodies. Arrowheads show coexpression of Pax7 and GFP, arrows highlight persistence of GFP on differentiated myonuclei (Pax7−). Scale bar, 25 μ. (B) Schematic showing the two distinct embryonic mesodermal sources of the various skeletal muscles and hence, satellite cells associated with them. An, anterior; Nc, notochord; LPM, lateral plate mesoderm; Po, posterior mesoderm; EOM, extraocular muscles. (C) Quantitation of the data shown in panel A. (D) Immunostaining for Pax7 and GFP on cells prepared by enzymatic digestion of Tibialis anterior (TA) muscles of Mrf4Cre:R26mTmG and Mrf4Cre:Pax7PN-H2BGFP and plated overnight. Note the presence of membrane GFP negative cells (arrowheads) on the left panel. Scale bar=10 μ. (E) Percentage of recombined cells (GFP+) over the total number of Pax7+ cells for Mrf4Cre (quantitation of data on panel D) and Myf5Cre (images not shown) with the R26mTmG and Pax7PN-H2BGFP reporters. Note the higher recombination efficiency in TA with the lineage specific reporter (H2BGFP histograms, n=2 animals, 99.15% ± 0.10 for Mrf4Cre; n=2 animals, 99.80% ± 0.08 for Myf5Cre) in comparison to the ubiquitous reporter (mTmG histograms, n=5 animals, 33.58% ± 6.19 for Mrf4Cre; n=4 animals, 86.12% ± 1.39 for Myf5Cre).
Fig. 5. *Mrf4* is induced in embryonic myogenic progenitors. (A) Immunostained sections at the trunk level of embryonic (E12.5), foetal (E14.5) and perinatal (E18.5) *Mrf4*;*Pax7PN-H2BGFP* muscle anlage in the myotome. All 3 panels of each row represent the same field. Arrows point to GFP only nuclei, asterisks flag Pax7 only nuclei and arrowheads mark double positive nuclei. Scale bar = 25 μ. (B) Histogram quantitating the proportion of Pax7+ cells that are GFP+. See text for the values. Note that nearly all Pax7+ cells were GFP+ by E14.5. Histogram for adult *Mrf4*;*Pax7PN-H2BGFP* (quantitation on cells prepared by enzymatic digestion of EOM muscles) was placed side-by-side for comparison. (C, D) Perdurance of H2BGFP reporter expression during development. (C) Quantitation of the single and double (Pax7, GFP) positive cells from n = 3 embryos/foetuses and n = 2 animals for adult EOM. For E12.5, n = 514 nuclei, for E14.5, n = 387 nuclei for E18.5, n = 622 nuclei and for adult EOM n = 440 nuclei. Red histograms represent Pax7 only cells at E12.5 (32.4 ± 6.4), at E14.5 (3.5 ± 0.5), at E18.5 (1.2 ± 0.5) and adult (1.53 ± 1.05). Green histograms represent GFP only cells at E12.5 (11.2 ± 3.2), E14.5 (20.1 ± 2.6), E18.5 (46.1 ± 6.2). Yellow histograms represent Pax7+ GFP+ cells at E12.5 (11.2 ± 3.2), E14.5 (20.1 ± 2.6), E18.5 (46.1 ± 6.2) and adult (98.5 ± 1.0). Note that the difference in the % of Pax7+ GFP+ cells between panel B and C is because, panel B quantitates GFP+ cells in Pax7+ pool, while panel C quantitates the proportions in the combined pool of both Pax7+ as well as GFP+ cells (total number of nuclei). (D) Schematic illustrating the appearance of distinct pools of cells with respect to the expression of *Mrf4* and Pax7. During development, Pax7 reporter expression appears upon *Mrf4* activation (yellow nucleus), persists temporarily in committed myogenic cells (green nucleus) then, extinguishes in differentiating cells (black nucleus). Postnatally, *Mrf4* is not active in satellite cells, however, reporter expression follows that of Pax7. On/off indicate transcription or not from the *Mrf4* and Pax7 locus. The coloured squares indicate the readout by immunofluorescence of Pax7 and GFP proteins.
wherein R26mTmG (membrane Tomato-stop-membrane GFP; (Muzumdar et al., 2007)) was crossed to Mrf4Cre mice. In contrast to the Pax7PN-H2BGFP reporter, only 33.6 ± 13.8% of the satellite cells enzymically isolated from adult TA were R26mTmG reporter positive (Fig. 4D, E; n = 5 animals, 5 TA, n = 1015 cells). Notably, the frequency of recombined cells remained unchanged at least up to 8 months of age (n = 1 animal, TA, EOM, masster, total n = 453 cells; data not shown). Thus, once again we noted a discrepancy in the frequency of GFP+ satellite cells obtained with Mrf4Cre: R26mTmG compared to that of Mrf4Cre:Pax7PN-H2BGFP (see Discussion). Nevertheless, this observation mirrored the difference in sensitivity of muscle embryonic progenitors labelled by R26RlacZ compared to Pax7GPL on whole mount embryos (Fig. 2B, C). To decipher whether the efficiency of recombination between the Pax7 and Rosa reporters was a unique feature of the Mrf4Cre allele or a rather generalised phenomena, we compared the recombination efficiency of Pax7PN-H2BGFP and R26mTmG reporter alleles by Myf5Cre (Haldar et al., 2008). Whereas 99.7 ± 0.19% of the satellite cells recombined the Pax7PN-H2BGFP reporter (Figs. 4E; S4; n = 2 animals; TA, EOM, masster; total n = 3108 cells), 84.03 ± 1.08% cells of the satellite cells recombined the R26mTmG reporter allele on cells enzymatically isolated from 6 to 8 week old mice (Figs. 4E; S4; n = 3 animals, TA, EOM, masster; total n = 2769 cells). Notably, recombination efficiency of the R26mTmG allele increased to almost 100% at 15 months (Fig. S4B; n = 2 animals; EOM, masster; total n = 1261 cells). In summary, the recombination efficiency by Myf5Cre was nearly 100% with the lineage specific reporter.

Although the difference in recombination between R26mTmG and Pax7PN-H2BGFP reporters was small with Myf5Cre, it was dramatic (about 3 fold) with Mrf4Cre. Moreover, the rate of recombined Myf5Cre: R26mTmG cells increased over time in striking contrast to the Mrf4Cre: R26mTmG mice, which remained low (data not shown; see discussion for physiological relevance).

Together, these observations show that an overwhelming majority of satellite cell founders, irrespective of their embryonic origin, activated the Mrf4 locus. Cre protein driven by Mrf4Cre was not present in juvenile and adult stem/progenitor cells (Fig. 1; (Keller et al., 2004a)), therefore we hypothesised that Pax7PN-H2BGFP reporter expression in adult skeletal muscle satellite cells from Mrf4Cre mice reflects historical Cre expression in the founder population likely during embryogenesis.

Mrf4 genetic tracing reveals a lineage continuum between embryonic and adult muscle stem/progenitors

To examine Mrf4Cre activity in more detail, we co-immunostained cryosections of Mrf4Cre:Pax7PN-H2BGFP samples at E12.5 (embryonic), E14.5 (foetal) and E18.5 (perinatal) stages with anti-GFP and anti-Pax7 antibodies. To quantify the extent of co-expression and the possible appearance of new H2BGFP negative cells (i.e. not Mrf4 primed) at later stages, which would argue against a lineage continuum model, we focused on myotome or myotome-derived anlage of trunk muscles. At E12.5, a major proportion of Pax7+ cells in the myotome were GFP+ (63.5% ± 7.24;
Pax7H2BGFP development. Therefore, GFP expression from the recombined Pax7H2BGFP locus faithfully follows endogenous Pax7 promoter activity.

Taken together, these observations strongly suggest that the majority of Pax7+ cells have been primed by Mrf4 expression at the end of embryonic phase of myogenesis, and no new wave of Pax7+ Mrf4+ stem/progenitors appears to arise in the subsequent foetal and perinatal myogenic phases.

Mrf4 expression is confined to embryonic muscle progenitors

We next asked whether Mrf4 activation occurs only in the Pax7+ stem/embryonic progenitors (cumulative expression) or in progenitors of foetal and perinatal developmental phases as well (cumulative expression). We made use of the Mrf4nlacZ allele, which marks contemporary expression from the Mrf4 locus as opposed to the Mrf4lncr/Pax7nGFP reporter assay used above, which provides a cumulative record of Mrf4 expression.

At E12.5, we observed some β-gal+ cells co-expressing Pax7 or Myog in the myotome (Fig. 6A, B). Notably, among the different muscle anlage derived from the myotome, the proportion of β-gal co-expression with Pax7 or Myog appeared to vary significantly thereby precluding quantifications (Fig. S5A, B). Moreover, in some anlagen β-gal was undetectable (Fig. S5A, B). Therefore, Mrf4 activation in Pax7+ stem/progenitors occurs asyncronously in embryonic muscle progenitors of different developing muscle masses. Overall, the proportion of β-gal+ nuclei among Pax7+ stem/progenitors (contemporary Mrf4 expression) appeared to be minor (Fig. S5A, B) and far below the average 63.5% overlap quantitated from Mrf4nlacZ/Pax7nGFP (cumulative record of Mrf4 expression) at the same stage (Fig. 5B). By E14.5, rare or no co-expression of β-gal could be observed in Pax7+ cells even when using the sensitive Tg:Pax7nGFP mouse line to monitor Pax7 expression (Fig. S6A, B). In contrast, the majority of β-gal+ cells co-expressed Myog (Fig. 6C). Similarly, mutually exclusive Pax7 and β-gal staining was observed in newborns (Fig. 6D; n = 3 pups, a total of 453 nuclei). Both E14.5 and P0 data are consistent with our previous report showing that Mrf4lncr does not mark Pax7+ cells in the foetus from E15.5 (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2005) and that later, Mrf4 functions as a differentiation factor.

To address the expression of Mrf4 in Pax7+ cells and myotubes by an independent method, we directly measured the expression of Mrf4 in the Pax7+ stem/progenitors isolated by FACS from embryos of Tg:Pax7nGFP mice. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed extremely low levels of Mrf4 mRNA expression in the Pax7nGFP+ cells isolated from trunks of E12.5 as well as E17.5 embryos when compared to adult muscle fibres (Fig. 5C). This indicates that Mrf4 is induced transiently and asynchronously in the embryonic Pax7+ stem/progenitors such that at the population level the mRNA is undetectable.

In the experiments above, we observed that: (i) nearly all Pax7+ progenitors had activated Mrf4 by the end of the embryonic phase (E14.5); (ii) this proportion remained stable in the late foetal phase (E18.5). Given that contemporary expression of Mrf4 in stem/progenitors was virtually undetectable in the foetal phase, these observations suggest that embryonic activation of Mrf4 results in priming of the majority of the Pax7+ stem/progenitor population and that no new Pax7+ stem cells arise from Mrf4+ founders. This, n = 3 embryos; 461 nuclei) and nearly all Pax7+ cells were GFP+ by the early foetal stage E14.5 (95.6% ± 0.7; n = 3 embryos; 304 nuclei) (Fig. 5A, B). The proportion of double positive cells in the trunk remained high at E18.5 (Fig. 5A, B; 97.6% ± 0.9; n = 3 embryos; 317 nuclei). Importantly, Pax7+ cells not expressing GFP were rarely or never observed after E14.5 until birth (Fig. S8–D). We observed, however, a steady increase in the number of GFP+/Pax7+ cells over the course of development (Fig. 5C), indicating that the H2BGFP reporter is more stable than Pax7 protein. Accordingly, some GFP+ cells in the myotome were Myog+ showing that they were differentiated (Fig. S2F). Given that Pax7 and Myog rarely co-express, this observation suggests a temporary perdurance of H2BGFP from Pax7nGFP. In adult muscle, we did not observe GFP+/Pax7+ cells (Fig. 5B, C; 0.05% ± 0.05%; n = 2 animals, TA, EOM, masseter; n = 1282 nuclei), indicating that the perdurance of GFP expression is a transient event during development. Therefore, GFP expression from the recombined Pax7nGFP locus faithfully follows endogenous Pax7 promoter activity.

Fig. 7. Upstream stem/progenitors of both embryonic as well as foetal myogenic waves express phase-specific markers. (A) Heatmap revealing up regulation of foetal phase-specific genes in Pax7nGFP+ cells isolated from E17.5 limbs (Foe 1–3) when compared to those from E12.5 limb buds (Emb 1–3). Data extracted from microarray transcriptome comparison (R.S., S.T. unpublished). (B) FACS profile to indicate the Pax7nGFP+ (upstream stem/progenitor; GFP Hi) and Pax7nGFP-mut (committed myoblast; GFP Lo) cells sorted for RT-qPCR analyses. Y-axis, in logarithmic scale as X-axis, represents spectral cross-over of green fluorescence in the red channel (PE). (C) Expression levels (2ΔΔCT) of Myog and Pax7 relative to levels of reference (TBP; TATA binding-protein) transcripts. Comparison between Pax7nGFP+ and Pax7nGFP-mut cells from E12.5 limbs. (D–F) Expression levels of Nfix and Ckm (foetal-specific markers) as well as slow MyHC (embryonic phase-specific gene) in indicated cell populations. Samples compared are GFP Hi of foetus (E17.5) vs. embryo (E12.5) and GFP Lo of E17.5 vs. E12.5.
in turn, argues against the possibility that the temporally distinct muscle stem/progenitors derive from phase specific naive founder cells.

**Upstream embryonic and foetal stem/progenitors express stage specific markers**

To further examine the issue of lineage relationships among the developmental muscle stem/progenitors, we sought to investigate the molecular signature of the upstream Pax7+ population from both the embryos and foetuses (E17.5) with respect to the foetal specific transcription factor Nfix, and Nfix-regulated genes. A previous profiling study that identified differential embryonic and foetal marker expression had been performed with a heterogeneous Myf5GFP+ population (Biressi et al., 2007b), comprising both upstream progenitors as well as committed and differentiating myoblasts (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2012). We compared the transcriptomes of GFP+ cells isolated from Tg:Pax7nGFP:E12.5 embryos and E17.5 foetuses and found a similar trend of expression of Nfix and other phase-specific genes as it had been reported with the Myf5GFP+ populations (Biressi et al., 2007b; Fig. 7A). Next we fractionated the population into Pax7nGFP+ (top 50%) and Pax7nGFP- (bottom 50%) by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS; Fig. 7B). In agreement with our previous studies, both in the adult and during development, we show here that Pax7Hi represents a pool upstream in the lineage cascade, i.e., high Pax7 and undetectable levels of downstream genes such as Myog (Mourikis et al., 2012b; Rocheteau et al., 2012; Fig. 7C). Surprisingly, not only the Pax7Lo committed myoblasts, but also the upstream Pax7Hi cells expressed the phase-specific commitment markers at both embryonic and foetal stages. We observed higher levels of slow MyHC expression in embryonic Pax7Hi cells as well as in committed Pax7Lo myoblasts, when compared to those populations from the foetus. Similarly, Nfix and Ckm were upregulated both in foetal Pax7Hi and Pax7Lo cells relative to the respective embryonic populations. This implies that the upstream population is committed to generating developmental phase-specific muscle cells, though the cellular resolution of our analysis is limited. Nevertheless, taken together with (a) the near complete activation of Myf4 in embryonic stem/progenitors and the absence of Myf4 stem/progenitors in all subsequent phases of myogenesis, as well as satellite cells and (b) our recent report that embryonic muscle progenitors arrested in differentiation by ectopic Notch signalling adopt foetal character later (Mourikis et al., 2012a), our data support a linear progression of the stem/progenitor lineage during the successive waves of myogenesis, i.e., foetal progenitors arise from embryonic muscle stem/progenitors and so on (see Discussion below).

**Discussion**

How adult tissue specific stem cells emerge during ontogenesis is a major unresolved question in developmental biology. The finding that adult skeletal muscle stem cells exhibit heterogeneity in behaviour has lead to the suggestion that the extent of priming of prenatal muscle stem cells by the determination genes Myf5, Myod and Myf4 predisposes the adult counterparts of these muscle founder cells to assume different states of myogenic commitment. The discordant results obtained from previous Cre-lox strategies using Myf5 (Kuang et al., 2007) and Myod (Kanisicak et al., 2009) genetically modified mice raised the question: does Myf4 priming occur in emerging stem cells prenatally and postnatally? Here we report that priming of muscle founder stem cells by Myf4 expression occurs extensively as this population emerges in the embryo, and this does not occur further from foetal stages. Our observations lead us to propose that signals that prompt unidirectional commitment by potent cell fate regulators act at the earliest stages of muscle stem cell emergence, yet these cells retain phenotypic flexibility with the capacity to return to a less committed cell state in the adult. This major conclusion from our work was revealed uniquely by generating muscle lineage specific reporter lines in the Pax7 locus.

**Use of Cre recombinase strategy in lineage analysis**

Evaluation of the expression of the muscle determination genes or other lineage markers in the developmental history of satellite cells requires methods that allow permanent and irreversible readout over time. While Cre is expressed in temporally and spatially defined patterns, recombination of a Cre-dependent reporter is an on/off readout in which only the progenitors having reached an appropriate threshold of Cre expression can be activated (Ma et al., 2008). In this study, Myf4 priming of nearly all founder stem cells was observed using a newly generated lineage specific Pax7 reporter knock-in mouse. In contrast, less than half of the founder cells were marked by Myf4Cre when a widely used Rosa reporter readout was employed (see Fig. 4). As a comparison we evaluated the efficiency of Myf5Cre, an already described driver, to recombine Pax7Myf5CreR26YFP and R26Tom loci. Similar to Myf4Cre, although to a much lesser extent, we found different combination rates depending on the reporter line used (see Figs. 4; S4). A likely explanation for the discrepancy is that the lineage specific locus is transcriptionally more active, therefore more accessible and thus can be activated by lower levels of Cre or more transient exposure to Cre. Another possibility is the differene in the levels of lineage-specific versus ubiquitous reporter gene expression. The stark differences obtained with the different reporter lines, in particular with Myf4Cre, underscores the importance of exercising caution in interpreting lineage relationships with the widely used ubiquitous reporters. Indeed, differences between lineage specific and ubiquitous reporters are not restricted to the myogenic lineage (Ma et al., 2008).

Interestingly, both the present study and a previous study using the R26YFP reporter with a distinct Myf5Cre allele (Kuang et al., 2007), revealed a Myf5Cre,Rosa reporter negative population of about 10% of the satellite cells, and these were reported to exhibit more stem-like properties. Along this line, our Myf4Cre and Myf5Cre results that virtually all satellite cells have recombined the Pax7Myf5CreR26YFP reporter does not exclude the possibility of functional heterogeneity in the progenitor pool. In the case of Myf4, whether functional heterogeneity might be revealed by the Myf4Cre,R26Tom negative population (about 70% of the satellite cells), which historically expressed lower levels of Cre, should be examined further. In addition, our observation that Pax7Myf5CreR26YFP reporter expression levels follow that of the endogenous Pax7 loci, indicates that this reporter is a potentially useful readout of the heterogeneity in the adult pool. In fact, our preliminary results reveal the existence of Pax7Hi and Pax7Lo satellite cell populations among the 100% of Pax7Myf5CreR26YFP recombined cells (data for Myf5Cre, S.T., G.C., I.L.R., unpublished observations).

It is important to note that the expression of a knocked-in Cre recombinase simply reflects the active status of the locus and not necessarily the expression of the endogenous protein from it (see Tajbakhsh, 2009). Therefore, the conclusions from using this strategy need to take this point into consideration. The Myf4Cre allele employed here, was generated and used in a previous report as a differentiated muscle cell-specific Cre driver (Keller et al., 2004a, 2004b). Our results clearly show that, while Myf4 expression is undetectable in adult stem/progenitor cells, it is expressed and functionally active in the embryonic myogenic cells. In another study the same Myf4Cre allele was used to eliminate
differentiated muscle cells and differentiated fibres were found to be lost during the foetal period (Haldar et al., 2008). That study assumed no loss of progenitors in the embryonic phase as the muscle continued to develop till E18.5. We reasoned that this could be due to the use of the R26<sup>Cre</sup>-DTA<sup>loxP</sup>-DTA<sup>loxP</sup> allele (Wu et al., 2006), which like the R26<sup>amTmG</sup> or R26<sup>RsG</sup> alleles in our study (Muzumdar et al., 2007; Soriano, 1999), recombinated less efficiently than the Pax7 locus and hence might not have depleted all of the Mrf4 expressing progenitors. We have confirmed this point experimentally by analysing Mrf4<sup>Cre<sup>−</sup></sup>, R26<sup>Cre</sup>-DTA<sup>loxP</sup>-DTA<sup>loxP</sup> poiesuses (stronger R26<sup>Cre</sup>-DTA<sup>loxP</sup>-DTA<sup>loxP</sup> allele (Ivanova et al., 2005)). Rather than eliminating the Pax7<sup>+</sup> population, as would be expected from the lineage study reported here, the trunk muscle of these foetuses contained significant numbers of Pax7<sup>+</sup> cells thereby exposing weaknesses in using this combination of genetic tools (Fig. S7).

**Antecedence of Mrf4 activity reflects the regulatory cell state of satellite cell founders**

Satellite cells are defined by their location between host myofibre membrane and its basement membrane, as well as by marker expression such as Pax7. Such cells, that fit both the anatomical and molecular characteristics, arise during late foetal stages in mouse. While some of these cells differentiate to contribute to prenatal and postnatal muscle growth, the remaining enter mitotic quiescence by the young adult stage (see (Tajbakhsh, 2009)). Based on snapshots of expression of upstream markers Pax3/Pax7 and the determination genes, Myf5/Myod/Mrf4 (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2005; Relaix et al., 2005), Pax<sup>−</sup>MRF<sup>−</sup> cells associated with muscle anlagen were observed throughout development and identified as the founders of satellite cells. This appeared to suggest that satellite cells arise from a naive Pax<sup>−</sup>MRF<sup>−</sup> pool of ancestral cells.

The lineage priming results reported for Myf5 and Myod using Cre-lox tracing could be reconciled with genetic cell ablation experiments. Interestingly, Myod<sup>−</sup> embryonic muscle progenitors that never expressed Myf5<sup>Cre</sup> were reported to sustain developmental myogenesis when Myf5<sup>Cre</sup> cells are ablated (Gensch et al., 2008; Haldar et al., 2008). It can be argued that the former population generates the minority Myf5-negative satellite cell pool identified previously (Kuang et al. 2007). However, based on the fact that virtually all adult satellite cells have recombinated the Pax<sup>−</sup>Mrf4<sup>−</sup>-H2BGFP reporter in a Myf5<sup>Cre</sup> dependent manner, it could well be that the Myf5-negative/Myod-only embryonic muscle progenitors described previously had been actually low Myf5 expressing, thus insufficient to activate Rosa-driven reporters or DTA alleles. Whatever the case, our finding that almost all satellite cells have a history of Mrf4 expression strongly indicates that the MRF activation status of the founders in the embryo does not in itself explain the functional heterogeneity in the satellite cell population (Fig. 8). Following on this point, the use of mouse strains carrying simultaneously a Rosa-based and lineage specific reporter (i.e. Mrf4<sup>Cre</sup>, Pax7<sup>Pax7<sup>Cre</sup>βGT<sub>2M</sub>, R26<sup>amTmG</sup>) could be useful to clarify issues regarding heterogeneity.

Finally, a number of experiments from our laboratory are not consistent with the notion that apparently more committed satellite cells, as gleaned from their transcriptome, are less potent as self-renewing stem cells. These observations do not involve Cre-lox lineage tracing. Firstly, satellite cells isolated and fractionated into Pax7<sup>hi</sup> and Pax7<sup>lo</sup> appear less and more committed to the myogenic lineage, respectively (Mourikis et al., 2012b; Rocheteau et al., 2012). Yet, upon serial transplantation into injured muscle, both of these populations were comparable in their regeneration and self-renewal efficiency (Rocheteau et al., 2012). Secondly, endogenous Myf5<sup>Cre</sup>−/− satellite cells, which appear more lineage primed and committed to the muscle lineage at the transcriptome level, unexpectedly show a higher potential for self-renewal upon transplantation (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2012). Taken together with the results presented here, we conclude that founders of satellite cells had explored the MRF<sup>+</sup> regulatory cell state but are not anchored to the commitment state.

These findings still leave the observations with Myf5<sup>Cre</sup> tracing (Kuang et al., 2007) to be reconciled. One possibility is that YFP<sup>+</sup> cells from Myf5<sup>Cre</sup><sup>+/−</sup>, R26<sup>YFP</sup> in those experiments represent not only historic Myf5 activity, but also contemporary Myf5 expression in adult satellite cells. Evidence for this possibility comes from the fact that we have observed an increase in the percentage of recombination in Myf5<sup>Cre</sup><sup>+/−</sup>, R26<sup>YFP</sup> animals from about 85% at 6–8 weeks to almost 100% at 15 months, supporting the view that persistent, active Myf5 transcription is explored by all satellite cells. In addition, our recent report shows convincingly that a great majority of satellite cells (90%) express Myf5 protein (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2012). Absence of Myf5 protein might explain the better self-renewal manifested by YFP<sup>+</sup> satellite cells in the transplantation assay by Kuang et al. (2007), in fact, we showed recently that heterozygous Myf5 mutant satellite cells with lower levels of Myf5 self-renew better than wildtype satellite cells (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2012). In contrast to the observation for Myf5<sup>Cre</sup>, we did not observe any increase in recombination

![Fig. 8](image-url) (A) Regulatory cell state of founders of satellite cells. The top line illustrates lineage progression of progenitors during myogenesis. The shaded box indicates that almost all satellite cells are derived from MRF<sup>+</sup> founders (priming). The corollary is that MRF induction in founders does not curtail the stem cell potential of adult muscle satellite cells. It must be noted that MRF<sup>+</sup> status revealed by Cre-lox strategy does not reflect the expression of functional MRF proteins.
in Mrf4cre, R26mTmG animals over time (S.T., LLR, G.C., data not shown), further supporting the conclusion that Mrf4 transcription is absent in adult satellite cells.

Taken together, we propose that expression of MRFs in founders during development does not limit the ‘stemness’ of adult satellite cells. We also suggest that the functional heterogeneity in adult satellite cell compartment is likely causally linked to both the current expression status of MRFs (in particular Myf5) as well as to historical MRF expression.

Linear lineage relationship among developmental muscle progenitors

Muscle tissue in mice begins to form from about E10.5 and continuously develops and grows till full adult size is achieved. Up to 3 weeks after birth, the growth is brought out by the stem/progenitor cell population. Understanding the emergence and evolution of this population is central to understanding muscle development. Our data suggests that foetal stem/progenitors derive from the population that contributed to embryonic muscle growth and not from a new upstream population (Supplementary Fig. S8). We note that in our strategy using a Pax7 reporter, Pax3+/Pax7− embryonic muscle stem/progenitors would not be traceable. Thus, a Pax7 reporter might not reflect the proportion of total muscle progenitors expressing Mrf4. However, Pax3+ myogenic cells rapidly diminish and exhaust by the foetal period (Goulding et al., 1991; Horst et al., 2006). In addition, we obtain virtually identical lineage specific reporter readouts for trunk and head muscles, the latter having a different developmental origin, which is Pax3 independent. Therefore, we argue that our approach allows us to address the lineage relatedness of embryonic and foetal progenitors.

Our data also suggests that such a direct lineage relationship extends to satellite cells. However, a recent report (Dellavalle et al., 2011) shows that in the post-natal period (around 1st week after birth) of growth, satellite cells could arise from Alpl (alkaline phosphatase) expressing cells associated with blood vessels. Pw1 (a stress related protein) expressing interstitial population has also been proposed to enter the myogenic pool during post-natal growth (Mitchell et al., 2010). To reconcile these reports with our data that almost all adult satellite cells have activated Mrf4, one has to assume that a wave of Mrf4 activation occurs during this postnatal period in these ‘outsider cells’ entering the muscle lineage. Alternatively, we must note that the contribution of vessel-associated cells to satellite cells is not uniform in all muscles (Dellavalle et al., 2011). Similarly, we find rare Mrf4+ satellite cells in most of the muscles analysed and up to 6.7% Mrf4+ satellite cells in tongue. It is possible that these Mrf4+ satellite cells represent those derived from the vessel-associated cells, which unlike the muscle progenitors from the embryo, did not activate Mrf4.

A caveat in our interpretation favouring a linear lineage is that we use absence of an Mrf4+/Pax7+ population in foetal and later stages to argue that all of Pax7+ muscle progenitors in the embryo have already induced Mrf4. It could be argued that the foetal Pax7+ population emerges from an MRf4+/Pax7+ pool, but transiently induces Mrf4 gene expression and hence, escapes detection as an Mrf4+/Pax7+ population. However, another recent work strongly buttresses his argument. The study demonstrates that embryonic progenitors prevented from differentiating into embryonic muscle, due to forced expression of constitutively active intracellular domain of Notch, subsequently acquire foetal identity (Mourikis et al., 2012a), thus favouring the linear lineage model proposed here.

Conclusions

Firstly, our study underscores the importance of using combinations of reporter mice for readouts in genetic lineage studies. Secondly, we propose that embryonic Pax7+ muscle progenitors, and majority of the adult muscle satellite cells, could be linearly lineage related. Finally, we conclude from our results that the regulatory state of embryonic founder muscle stem cells, in terms of extent of commitment into the myogenic lineage during development, does not affect the stem cell potential of adult muscle satellite cells. Our findings could be extrapolated to stem cells in other tissue compartments and, in general, is significant to understand the emergence of adult stem cell populations.

Experimental procedures

Animals

Mouse lines with mutant alleles, Myf5fl/fl, Myf5Cre; Mrf4nlacZ (referred to as Mrf4D mice throughout the article) were described previously (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004). Generation of Pax7Cre, and Pax7fl/fl-PN-H2BGFP lines is described in the next section. For Mrf4Cre (see (Keller et al., 2004b)), R26fl/+ (Soriano, 1999), R26fl/GFP-UTA (Jackson; (Ivanova et al., 2005)), R26mTmG (Jackson 007576; (Muzumdar et al., 2007)), Myf5fl/fl (Haldar et al., 2008), Ig Pax7nGFP (Sambasivan et al., 2009). Mouse mutants were genotyped and interbred as described (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004). For all Pax7 alleles, the genotyping was done with the following primers. Forward primer on SV40 polyA signal sequence at the 3’ end of all the transgenes: 5’ ccacacctccctgaagaacataaa 3’ and reverse primer on the Pax7 locus immediately downstream of the insertion: 5’ gaatcgggagctgctcgg 3’.

Generation of Pax7 reporter lines

The targeting vectors were designed to replace 36 bp of the first exon downstream of the 5’ UTR (57 bp) with the reporter constructs, thus generating knock-out alleles for Pax7. The Pax7-GPL targeting vector was designed with a nuclear GFP protein sequence, a puromycin selection cassette and a nuclear β-galactoside protein sequence, each of them followed by a polyadenylation signal (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004; Sambasivan et al., 2009; Tajbaksh et al., 1996b). LoxP sites and frt sites on the transgene were designed to allow the use of this allele as a Cre-inducible stop-nlacZ reporter. For the Pax7fl/fl-PN-H2BGFP allele, the targeting vector contained a Pax7d cDNA sequence (accession NM_011039), a neomycin selection cassette and a fusion of histone H2B to green fluorescent protein (GFP) each of them followed by a polyadenylation signal. The H2BGFP fusion was made by PCR amplification of mouse H2B fused in frame at a Bgl II site to linker-EGFP construct (Shinin et al., 2009). Insertion of the floxed Pax7d cDNA cassette was aimed to generate a dual-purpose allele that would serve both as a conditional knock-out (ckO) as well as a Cre-inducible H2BGFP reporter. Owing to lack of expression of the Pax7d cassette, it could not be used as a ckO allele. Standard clonal subcloning methods and recombining (Liu et al., 2003) were used for vector construction. The targeting strategy for the generation of the Pax7fl/fl and Pax7fl/fl-PN-H2BGFP lines is outlined in Fig. 2E. Pax7Cre or Pax7fl/fl-PN-H2BGFP constructs were inserted into the Pax7 locus via electroporation of CK35 (129sv) embryonic stem (ES) cells (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004). Screening of correctly targeted ES cells were assessed by PCR and Southern blotting (Fig. 52A, B). Chimeric mice were bred to C57BL6/DBA2 mice. Germline transmission of the targeted alleles were assessed by PCR (see below). Pax7Cre line was used to generate Pax7mGFP and Pax7nlacZ alleles by mating to either a ubiquitous Flippase (β-actin flippase; ActB:FLPe; (Rodriguez et al., 2000)) or Cre driver (PGK-Cre; (Lallemand et al., 1998)) lines, respectively.
Antibodies

Antibodies used in this study include Myosin heavy chain (rabbit polyclonal, kindly provided by G. Cossu, 1/750), β-galactosidase (rabbit polyclonal, kindly provided by O. Pujaloni, 1/200), Myod (mouse monoclonal, 5.8.A, Dako, M3512, 1/50; rabbit polyclonal, Santa Cruz SC-704, 1/100), Laminin (rabbit polyclonal, Sigma 9393, 1/1000), GFP (chicken polyclonal, Abcam ab13970, 1/750 for sections, 1/2000 for myoblast cultures and single fibres), Pax7 (mouse monoclonal, DSHB, 1/10; rabbit polyclonal, Aviva systems biology ARP32742, 1/750), Myog (mouse monoclonal, F5D, DSHB, 1/50; rabbit polyclonal, Santa Cruz SC-576, 1/100), Cre (mouse monoclonal, Abcam ab24607, 1/1000; rabbit polyclonal, Novagen 69050, 1/3000).

Immunofluorescence

Tissue sections

Embryos were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 h 30 min to 2 h at 4 °C and embedded in 7% gelatin and 15% sucrose for cryosectioning (12–16 μm). Dissected muscles were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde, 0.5% Triton X100 in PBS and cryofrozen in isopentane bath (−30 °C) for sections (12–20 μm). Briefly, cryosections were allowed to dry for 5 min, blocked in PBS, 20% normal goat serum (GS), 0.5% Triton X100. Primary antibodies were diluted in the blocking solution and incubated overnight (ON) at 4 °C. After two 15 min washes in PBS, 0.1% Tween20, secondary antibodies (raised in goat, conjugated with Alexa 488 or 555 or 633 from Molecular Probes) were incubated in the blocking solution 1 h at RT together with 1μg/ml Hoechst to visualise nuclei. The sections were washed as above and mounted with coverslips in 75% glycerol Tris-buffered to pH 7. Images were acquired with a Zeiss Axioplan equipped with an Apotome and Axiosvision software (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany, http://www.zeiss.com), or a Leica SPE confocal and Leica Application Suite (LAS) software. All images were assembled in Adobe Photoshop and Indesign (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, http://www.adobe.com). Some images were assembled as projections of successive confocal acquisitions. Optical sections (1–1.5 μm) were reconstructed using ImageJ (NIH).

Whole mount embryos

Embryos were harvested in PBS and screened for GFP expression under a Zeiss SteREO Discovery V20 microscope equipped with a Zeiss Axiocam MRC colour camera and the Axiosvision software (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany, http://www.zeiss.com). Embryos were fixed overnight in 0.5% paraformaldehyde at 4 °C, and washed in PBS. The fixed embryos were permeabilized and blocked in 10% GS, 3% bovine serum albumin, 0.5% Triton X-100 and incubated subsequently in primary and secondary antibodies overnight in blocking solution. Images were acquired with the same Zeiss stereomicroscope.

Freshly isolated myoblasts

Tibialis anterior, masseter and extraocular muscles were dissected and placed into cold DMEM. Muscles were then chopped with small scissors and put in a 15ml Falcon tube containing 10ml of DMEM (31966 Gibco®), 0.1% collagenase D (Roche 1088886), 0.25% trypsin (15090-046 Gibco®) at 37 °C under gentle agitation for 30 min. Digests were stored for 5 min at RT and the supernatants were collected in 5 ml of Foetal Bovine Serum (Gibco®) on ice. Collagenase/trypsin solution was added to each tube and the incubation repeated 3 to 4 times till complete digestion of the muscle. The collected supernatants were pooled and filtered through a 70 μm cell strainer (BD Falcon). Cells were spun 15 min 515 rcf at 4 °C and the pellets resuspended in 1ml of culture media (20% FBS, 1% Penicillin–Streptomycin (15140 Gibco®), 2% Ultroser™ G (15950-017 Pall Biosepra) in 50:50 DMEM: F12 (31966 and 31765 Gibco®). Cells were plated on glass coverslips coated successively with 10 μg/ml poly-d-lysine (P6407 SIGMA) and matrigel (354234 BD Biosciences). Cells were allowed to attach to the coverslips in a 20% O2, 5% CO2 37 °C incubator for 18 h. For immunostaining, cells were fixed in PBS, 4% PFA for 5 min at RT, washed with PBS and permeabilized with PBS, 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min. After three washes with PBS, cells were blocked with PBS, 20% GS 1h at RT. Primary antibodies were added to cells in PBS, 2% GS in PBS for 2–3 h at RT under gentle rocking. Cells were washed three times with PBS then incubated 1 h RT with Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes® 1/1000) and 1 μg/ml Hoechst. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides with VECTASHIELD® Mounting Media. Images for quantification were acquired on a Leica SPE confocal and Leica Application Suite (LAS) software.

Isolated EDL fibres

Single fibres were isolated as described previously (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2007). Briefly, EDL muscles were dissected from adult mice (6–10 weeks old) and incubated for 1h DMEM 0.2% collagenase (C-0130, Sigma) at 37 °C. Following collagenase treatment, muscles were transferred to DMEM (31966 Gibco®) and fibres were mechanically dissociated by successive (< 10) flushing using an eroded glass pasteur pipette. For time zero experiments, fibres were fixed for 5 min with PBS, 4% PFA at RT and subsequently washed 3 times in PBS. Otherwise, fibres were cultured in suspension in non-treated culture dishes in 20% FBS, 1% Penicillin–Streptomycin (15140 Gibco®), in 50:50 DMEM:F12 (31966 and 31765 Gibco®) at 37 °C in 5% CO2 20% O2 for either 26 or 72 h. Myofibres were fixed in PBS, 4% PFA for 5 min as above.

Fixed myofibres were permeabilised in a solution of 20 mM HEPES, 300 mM sucrose, 50 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2 and 0.5% Triton X-100 (pH 7) at 4 °C for 15 min as described by Collins-Hooper et al. (2012). Fibres were washed in PBS 3 times. Non specific binding was blocked using the Mouse-On-Mouse Immunodetection Kit Vector® M.O.M.™ Kit as indicated by the manufacturer. Briefly, fibres were blocked on M.O.M blocking reagent for 1 h at RT. Mouse monoclonal anti-Cre antibody was added to fibres in M.O.M diluent solution with 0.05% Triton X-100 and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C plus 30 min at RT under gentle rocking. Fibres were washed three times with PBS and incubated with M.O.M biotinylated anti-mouse in diluent for 30 min at RT. After three PBS washes, anti-Myod, Pax7, Myog and or GFP polyclonal antibodies were added in PBS, 2% GS, 0.01% Triton X-100 o/n at 4 °C. The next day fibres were washed three times in PBS and incubated 1 h at RT in Cy3™-conjugated Streptavidin (Jackson Immunoresearch 1/1000) to visualise mouse primary Cre antibody plus Alexa Fluor 488 or 633 goat-anti-rabbit and anti-chicken antibodies (Molecular Probes® 1/1000) in PBS, 2% GS, 0.01% Triton X100, 1 μg/ml Hoechst. After three washes in PBS fibres were mounted with VECTASHIELD® Mounting Media. Images were acquired on a Leica SPE confocal and Leica Application Suite (LAS) software. Images at time 0 h and 26 h represent a stack of two focal planes whereas images at time 72 h represent a stack of 8 focal planes.

X-gal staining and in situ hybridisation

Embryos were fixed briefly on 4% PFA for 40 min to 1 h depending on the embryonic day, washed with PBS and incubated overnight at 37 °C in standard X-gal staining solution (Tajbakhsh et al., 1997).ISH was performed as described (Kassar-Duchossoy et al., 2004; Tajbakhsh et al., 1997) with an anti-sense Pax7 riboprobe.
Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells isolated by FACS or isolated EDL and TA muscle fibres using the Qiagen RNeasy Micro purification Kit. From the E12.5 embryos and E17.5 foetuses, limbs were dissected, digested in 0.2% trypsin or 0.1% collagenase D (Roche) and 0.2% trypsin, respectively (Gayraud-Morel et al., 2007) and sorted based on GFP fluorescence using a FACSaria, BD and FACSDiva. Cells were directly sorted into lysis buffer for RNA preparation. From 400 to 600 ng of RNAse-treated (Roche) RNA, cDNA was prepared by random-primed reverse transcription (SuperScript II, Invitrogen) and real-time PCR done using SYBR Green Universal Mixed or Taqman universal Master Mix (ABI Prism 7700 and StepOnePlus (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems). GAPDH and TBP transcript levels were used for the normalisations of each target (=ΔCT). At least 3 biological replicates were used for each condition and 2−ΔΔCT was plotted (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). Custom primers were designed using the Primer3plus online software (http://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi). Serial dilutions of total cDNA were used to calculate the amplification efficiency of each primer set according to the equation:

\[ E = 10^{-\text{1/slope}} \]

Primers dissociation experiments were performed to assure that no primer dimers or false amplicons would interfere with the results.

Statistics

The graphs were plotted and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software. All data points are mean ± standard error of mean (error bars). Student t-tests were performed to compare GFBHi versus GFPLo fractions of same samples, two-tailed, unpaired (\( p < 0.01 \)) and two-tailed, paired t-test was done. For comparing foetal versus embryonic samples two-tailed, unpaired t-tests were performed (\( ^* \): \( p < 0.05 \); \( ^{**} \): \( p < 0.01 \); \( ^{***} \): \( p < 0.001 \)).
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